Climate Change In Virginia

Virginia Coastal Zone

Todd Janeski Virginia NEMO Program Manager Virginia Commonwealth University

NEMO U7 October, 2010



- Shrinking ice caps expose hundreds of secret arctic lairs
- Twice the number are being revealed than in the previous **three decades**
- August 2009 found 44 mad scientist laboratories, three highly classified military compounds and seven reanimated and very confused cavemen



Source: the Onion, 2009



Chesapeake Watershed Forum 2009 Plan for Climate Change in the Watershed 8 October 2009

> S. Jeffress Williams Senior Coastal-Marine Geologist U.S. Geological Survey Woods Hole Science Center Woods Hole, MA



Section 2015 Section 2015 America's Coastal Crisis – Coastal population and development are increasingly vulnerable to coastal hazards



- Erosion affects all 30 coastal states
- 60-80% of coast is eroding
- erosion caused by complex processes





- Coastal populations have doubled
- ~50% live along coasts
- Infrastructure about \$9 trillion

Climate Change Adaptation Case Studies

Virginia Coastal Zone

Todd Janeski Virginia NEMO Program Manager Virginia Commonwealth University

Chesapeake Watershed Forum October, 2009

DCR

Climate Change and Sea Level Rise Adaptation & Response Planning

Zoë Johnson

Office for a Sustainable Future





Maryland Case Studies Climate Change Adaptation Planning



Photo: Jane Thomas, IAN Image Library

Gwen Shaughnessy Maryland Department of Natural Resources Chesapeake & Coastal Program



ADAPTING TO CLIMATE CHANGE: A PLANNING GUIDE FOR STATE COASTAL MANAGERS





NOAA Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management www.noaa.gov

Roadmap for Adapting to Coastal Risks

Special Condensed Offering for Chesapeake Watershed Forum October 9, 2009





BUILDING COAST-SMART COMMUNITIES

An Interactive Summit









MIT - USGS Science Impact Collaborative





VIRGIN



Definition – *Adaptation* is any action or strategy that reduces vulnerability to the impacts of climate change. The main goal of adaptation strategies is to improve local community *resilience*, or the ability of a community to bounce back quickly from climate impacts

NEMO

NOAA Adapting to Climate Change: A Planning Guide for State Coastal Managers

> The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): adaptation is the "adjustment in natural or human systems in response to actual or expected climatic stimuli or their effects, which moderates harm or exploits beneficial opportunities" (IPCC, 2007).

Successful adaptation needs

- Strong political leadership, i.e., a "champion"
- Institutional organization and coordination
- Active stakeholder involvement, including cross-cutting advisory groups
- Education and outreach program, citizen engagement
- Appropriate, scale relevant climate change information
- Decision making tools, including consideration of barriers and challenges to adaptation approaches
- Funding for implementation of adaptation planning and actions
- Research into future impacts
- A continuous adaptive management approach

Implement Adaptation Policies and Techniques



Dealing with Climate Change

Make your community more climate resilient

- Protect resources/systems
 from climate change impacts
- Accommodate or adapt to expected changes
- Abandon or retreat when accommodation and protection are not feasible



Accommodate or adapt to expected changes

- Link growth planning to adaptation planning
- Elevate vulnerable structures
- Make revisions to building codes
- Add new technology or features to older public utilities
- Acquire migration areas

Vulnerability & Risk Assessment





What impacts necessitate adaptation? **Changes in Storm** Frequency

What impacts necessitate adaptation?

Increased Flooding





What impacts necessitate adaptation?



What natural and built infrastructure is at risk?







What natural and built infrastructure is at risk?

Sewer Infrastructure







What natural and built infrastructure is at risk?

Dunes, beaches, Critical habitat



Case Study: Keene, NH

Threats: Flooding, water supply

Responses: •Incorporated climate change considerations into comprehensive plan

Case Study: Los Angeles, CA

Threats: Sea Level Rise, hurricanes, storm surge, saltwater intrusion, flooding, temp extremes, drought, stormwater, precipitation extremes

Responses:

•Established new position: Climate Adaptation Division Director within Environmental Affairs Department

Million Trees LA Program

 Procurement planning checklist to incorporate climate/ sustainability considerations

Case Study: Seattle, WA

Threats: Sea Level Rise, storm surges, changing water regimes

Response:

- Water reclamation and distribution technology added to WWTP
- Increased property taxes to fund flood control
- •New major bridge with longer spans to avoid flooding impacts
- •Replacement of 57 small span bridges to accommodate floodwaters and debris
- Pooled resources and partnership with the University
- •Enhanced and expanded GIS capabilities to assess vulnerabilities
- Water reclamation and distribution system being built

Case Study: Boston, MA

Threats: Sea Level Rise, hurricanes, storm surge, saltwater intrusion, flooding, temperature/ precipitation extremes, drought, stormwater

Responses:

 Integrated adaptation plan that will both outline actions to reduce risks from likely climate impacts and coordinate

•Planning for all new construction and major renovations of municipal facilities will evaluate the risks posed by climate change through 2050 and "describe potential steps to avoid, minimize or mitigate those risks."

•Climate change impacts are considered for large private projects through reviews conducted by the Boston Redevelopment Authority

•Major private development projects subject to review by BDC for potential CC impacts

Mayor's initiatives focusing on adaptation
Case Study: Milwaukee, WI

Threats: Flooding, extreme precipitation

Responses:

•Watershed management and green infrastructure to improve water quality and flood storage--

- Tunnel for stormwater storage
- Analysis of future stormwater
- Infrastructure investments
- •Green infrastructure

Case Study: Chicago, II

Threats: Flooding, extreme precipitation

Responses:

Implement additional Green infrastructure–
 downspout disconnections

- •rain barrels/ cisterns
- •inlet control system for CSOs

•Economic impact analysis of predicted climate impacts

•Prioritization process designed to maximize the use of limited resources

VNEMO Climate Change Projects



Mathews County Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission



Sustainable Shorelines and Community Management

- Partner: Northern Virginia Regional Commission
- Gather Data
 Assess Vulnerability

 Storm Surge
 Flooding

 Develop Strategies

 Protect
 Accommodate
 Retreat

 Improve Resilience







- Workgroup Establishment;
- Broad Vulnerability Analysis;
- Policy Review





- Assessment Refinement;
 - Economic Analysis
- Strategy Development
 - Best Practices
 - Community Awareness



Phase III Oct 10 – Sep 11+

- Strategy Refinement;
- Implementation Framework
- Outreach, as appropriate





Stakeholder Workgroup

- Identify key targeted planning areas
- Provide data and information
- Shape the strategy and recommendations





Arlington County City of Alexandria Fairfax County Prince William County Town of Quantico Town of Occoquan **George Mason University** Virginia Tech NPS – Center for Urban **Ecology NPS – GW Memorial Parkway** Fort Belvoir **Quantico Marine Corps Base** VA DCR – Mason Neck **USFWS – Mason Neck Refuge** VA Dept of Transportation



End Goals

- Maps showing areas at risk of inundation from SLR and Storm Surge in the region.
- Quantification of specific elements vulnerable for both the built and natural environments.
 - Building, roadways, parks, tidal wetlands, critical infrastructure, wells, septic fields, etc...
 - Economic value/Ecosystem services
- Strategies to improve resilience of communities & structures located in areas at risk.



Current Efforts

- Regional Hazard Mitigation Plan update
- VIMS Shoreline Situation Report update
- Economic Evaluation
- Survey of Waterfront Property Owners
- Analysis of adaptation options and applicability to NoVA







Historic Storm Surge

Absolute Water Level (recorded at NOAA Tides & Current Gages)			Corrected for relative sea-level rise to 2003	Corrected for relative sea- level rise at 2100, using average accelerated rate
Event	Date	Elevation (feet)	Elevation (feet)	Elevation (feet)
Hurricane Isabel	Sept. 2003	7.19	7.19	10.99
Hurricane	Aug. 1933	6.99	7.71	11.52

* Isabel was downgraded to a tropical storm as it moved through the Chesapeake Bay region – however the storm surge we experienced was that of a Category 2 Hurricane.



Adapted from: Coastal Sensitivity to Sea-Level Rise: A Focus on the Mid-Atlantic Region. US Climate Change Science Program, January 2009.

IRGINI

VNEMO Climate Change Projects





Washington DC Metropolitan Area Hurricane Storm Surge Mapping

- Hazards Analysis •
 - Probable worst-case (peak) storm surge from category 1 - 4 hurricanes*
 - Used NOAA's Sea, Lakes, and Overland Surges from Hurricanes (SLOSH) Model
 - Worst-Case combinations of direction, forward speed, landfall point, and astronomical tide

*Due to their extremely low chance of occurrence, category 5 hurricanes were not analyzed.









SLR Scenarios



VIRGINIA

Scenario	Definition	Relative Sea Level Rise Rate
Steady State	Observed historic trend at Washington, D.C. gage. (NOAA Tides and Currents, Station 8594900)	3.2 mm/year (1 foot by 2100)
Average Accelerated	Average projected sea level rise rate for the Chesapeake Bay region. (IPCC, 2007; STAC, 2008; and GCCC, 2008)	11.6 mm/year (1.9 feet by 2050; 3.8 feet by 2100)
Worst Case	Highest projected rate for the mid-Atlantic and Chesapeake Bay regions. (STAC, 2008; and GCCC, 2008)	16 mm/year (2.6 feet by 2050; 5.2 feet by 2100)



Future Global Sea Level Rise Projections to 2100

Uncertainties lie with the magnitude and speed of changes in the future.

I B G I N I A

If the West Antarctic Ice Sheet and the Greenland Ice Sheet were to melt, there would be a global increase in sea level rise of at least 13 meters.

(IPCC 2007, NRC 2002).

100 mm = ~ 4 inches Medium growth emissions scenario Source: IPCC 2007 - http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/science/futureslc_fig1.html



Areas at Risk: SLR

 Sea Level Rise = Hot Spots (i.e. the lowest lying areas in the region

Hot Spots					
for Sea Level Rise					
Arlington	National Airport				
	• Four Mile Run				
Alexandria	• Four Mile Run				
	Dangerfield Island				
	• Old Town				
	• Jones Point				
Fairfax County	Huntington				
	Belle Haven/New Alexandria				
	• Dyke Marsh				
	Tidal Embayments				
	Hallowing Point				
Prince William County	Occoquan NWR				
	Tidal Embayments				
	Town of Quantico				
	Occoquan River				











Old Town - City of Alexandria



RGINIA

















Projected Annual Precipitation Reagan National Airport, DC

Reagan National Airport Projected Annual Precipitation Median from 5 GCMs, 3 SRES Emissions (B1, A1B, A1FI)



Projected Changes in Precipitation Intensity, Duration, Frequency, Reagan National AP, DC

24-Hour Projected IDF Precipitation Values for 2050 and 2100 5 Top Historical Precipitation GCM Ensemble, A1FI (High) A1B (Medium) B1 (Low) Emissions Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) Analysis (1948-2008) Reagan National Airport



10-year IDF Projections in 2100 Reagan National Airport



- ~1 to 11 percent greater than the existing Alexandria intensities for durations of 5 to 60 minutes
- ~17 to 29 percent greater for durations of 2 to 24 hours



Middle Peninsula Virginia





Mathews County, VA

Derkley Island



***Google**

Rigby Island

© 2007 Europa Technologies Image © 2007 TerraMetrics

Mathews County, Virginia



NEMO

Local government's role in Climate Change:

Any county may adopt such measures as it deems expedient to secure and promote the <u>health, safety and general welfare</u> of its inhabitants which are <u>not inconsistent with</u> <u>the general laws of the Commonwealth</u>

- However, some committee members disagreed that global warming should be taken into consideration, saying the <u>concept was "based on spurious data"</u>
- ...said that planners <u>"should be aware of" the issue, but that it "shouldn't</u> drive the development process."
- 3. When pointed out that, even if the <u>concept of sea level rise</u> were left out of the document, <u>"we still should be discouraging people from building there</u> (in the lower part of the county)". "...<u>I don't agree with that statement!"</u>
- 4. "Waterfront in Mathews County is our economic base," he said. "Nothing else comes close. <u>You have to be aware of possible sea level rise, but don't use a hammer to discourage someone from coming in and building a nice home."</u>
- However, residents in some areas of the county have complained about sand build-up and other problems and <u>want to know why they were allowed to</u> <u>build there in the first place</u>.

Mathews on the move: loss of tax base





Gloucester County Engineered Septic Systems 2000-2008 (Installed and Potential) Middlesex King & Oueen Glouce ster Mathew Ray

Private Sector Infrastructure is at risk





VAC §15.2-1200 General Power of Counties

Any county may adopt such measures as it deems expedient to secure and promote the <u>health, safety and general</u> <u>welfare of its inhabitants</u>



Changes in Climate will affect the local ecology

• <u>Temperatures</u> in Virginia are estimated to increase 3°F increase during winter, spring and summer and increase 4°F in the fall (EPA, 2009)

Ask a duck hunter or bird watcher.....migrations have shifted or are delayed

• <u>Precipitation</u> in Virginia will increase by 20% by 2100 (EPA, 2009)

Erosion, sedimentation and flooding concerns

• **Storms** will intensify and are anticipated to be more frequent

How will flooding impact the health, safety and welfare of constituents and how will local governments provide public services to these areas?

Local Sea Level Rise



- National Wildlife Federation
 - **11.2** inch increase by 2050 and **27.2** inch increase by 2100
- Chesapeake Bay Foundation
 - **5.6** inch increase by 2050 and **12.5** inch increase by 2100
- Virginia Institute of Marine Science
 - 6.5 inch increase by 2050 and 14.3 inch increase by 2100
- Chesapeake Bay Program
 - 27.6 inches 62.99 inch rise by 2100

We should not allow attentiongrabbing headlines to mislead us into believing that *if* the effects of global warming are mitigated by natural changes or reducing man's potential contribution to global warming, coastal areas will remain high and dry. Other forces are in play and perhaps should get more attention than global warming. The term Global Warming had been changed to Climate Change since world temperatures have been going down, not up. But, by whatever name, the theory is that the fate of the world depends on us humans and we must scale back our standard of living somewhere near the Stone Age or else the world will not be habitable.

But, we humans are not capable of destroying—or saving—the planet. The climate is controlled by God and the earth will be destroyed by God on His time schedule regardless of anything we do or don't do.



No surprise

It certainly comes as no surprise that the liberal Democrats in Congress would reject the recent exposure of e-mails sent by climatologists that suggest they are covering up the real numbers on global warming. My only response to their reaction would response to their reaction would be to quote James Madison from be to quote James Madison from 'The Federalist Papers," Letter 10, when he said, "Enlightened statesmen will not always be at the helm."

It is insane that they have no qualms about committing our country to spending \$147 trillion on the environment over the next several years, but they are concerned about the effect the troop surge in Afghanistan will have on the deficit. We need to send a on the deficit. We need to send a very strong-message to Congress over the next two election cycles. Every incumbent must be thrown out. Let's start over with a totally new Congress by 2012.

Larry Fritz Poquoson



New Point Comfort Lighthouse Mathews, VA





1885

TODAY *shoreline has moved* ¹/₂ *mile*

Therefore, how does this impact the local tax base?





•Raise ½ mile of road 10 inches= \$320,000

(no permits and environmental cost)

•18% of Gloucester Area VDOT Secondary Road Budget

Putting it into perspective:

\$320,000 = ½ mile of road \$640,000 = 1 mile of road **\$32,409,600** = 50.64 miles of road (amount of road in snapshot to the right)



Cost of Public Safety






Global Warming Jeopardizes Cherished Outdoor Traditions in the Chesapeake Bay Region



The Major Investments in Chesapeake Bay Restoration Could Be Lost Due to Global Warming

THE CHESAPEAKE BAY AND GLOBAL WARMING

A PARADISE LOST FOR HUNTERS, ANGLERS, AND OUTDOOR ENTHUSIASTS?



2009

Year 1: Assessment and Vulnerability

Part I

Create a Climate Change Advisory Stakeholder Committee to identify the critical anthropogenic and ecological impacts of climate change and sea level rise



Part II

Mapped and assessed the critical anthropogenic and ecological impacts as identified by the stakeholder group

Assessing the economic and ecological impacts of sea level rise for select vulnerable locations within the Middle Peninsula

With well over 1,000 miles of linear shoreline, the Middle Peninsula is under direct threat from accelerated climate change. Specifically, sea level rise will impact coastal communities and infrastructure, as well as the region's natural resources.



2000 Current



2050 Shoreline

New Point Comfort: If Point Road floods consider the amount of infrastructure impacted

Infrastructure	Amount of Structures Impacted	Average Cost	Total Cost
Houses	72	\$228,669	\$16,464,168
		Estimated median house or condo value in 2007 (City-Data.com)	
Engineered OSDS	20	\$18,000 (MPPDC Regional Estimate)	\$360,000
Conventional OSDS	52	\$4,000 (MPPDC Regional Estimate)	\$208,000
Community Well (with 41 connections)	1	\$40,000 (MPPDC Regional Estimate)	\$40,000
Private Wells	31	\$3,000 (MPPDC Regional Estimate)	\$93,000
Shoreline Harding	658.122 ft of riprap	\$60/foot (University of Minnesota)	\$39,487.37
VDOT Road	1,250.67 ft	Short term: \$149 /sq ft	Short term: \$186,349.83
Segments		Long term: \$745/sq ft	Long term: \$931,749.15
		Additional right away acquisition	
		and when raised 10 inches (VDOT Estimate)	
TOTAL		Sh	ort term:\$17,391,005.20
		Lo	ong term: \$18,136,404.52

How will residents get to their house?

· How do residents get access to schools?

· How are OSDS and wells serviced?

- How are the roads serviced?
- How will conserved lands be accessed?
 - How will EMS service this area?

VIRGINIA



<u>_</u>



Onemo and Diggs: Inundated wetlands will result in fish, reptile, bird, and wildlife habitat impact and loss

\rightarrow	Quantitative Estimates of	Lost Wetland Function	ons
Wetland Functions	Value (1996\$) (\$/acre/year)	Estimated loss of wetland acreage	Direct/Indirect/Induced Value of wetland Lose (S/year)
Commercial Factors Fishing and Shellfish Hab Waterfowl Habitat Mammal and Reptile	itat \$48* \$253* \$18°	954.77 954.77 954.77	\$45,828.96 \$241,556.81 \$17,185.86
Damage Control Factors Environmental Projection against erosion, wind, sto and fiooding	\$289.67 ^d - \$8,566.67 ^d	954.77	\$276,568.23 - \$8,179,199.52
Recreational Opportunities Consumptive (ie. fishing, timbering, etc) and Non Consumptive (ie. bira watching, sight seeing) us	59 ⁱ - 5115 ^j Ses	954.77	\$8,592.93 - \$109,798.55
	Total value lost o	r redistributed: <mark>\$5</mark>	89,732.79 - \$8,593,569.7
	Qualitative Losses from	Wetland Inundation	ı
-flood control a -fish and wild -nursery area -biodiv	nd mitigation dlife habitat i for wildlife ersity	-water quality (ie. assimilation of waste and pollutants) -coastal erosion prevention -altering aesthetics of River and Bay vista -waterfowl habitat loss may impact bird watching	
* Bell, 1989 ^b Guta and Foster, 1	1975 Farber and Costanza, 1987 Costanza, 1987	Gupta and Foster, 1975 and T IBell, 1989	hibodeau and Ostro, 1981 Farber and
\rightarrow	Conserved Lan	ds Impacted	
Bethel Beach Natural Area Preserve	Quantitative: 63.31 acres of ter Qualitative:	restrial land converts to sub	aqueous land due to inundation

colonial nesting birds

- Habitat loss will impact the globally rare northeastern beach tiger beetle and beach plant as well as



Total Economic Impacts of Selected Areas: Summary by County

County	Anthropogenic		Ecological	Total Long form costs
	Short term Long term		Leological	
Mathews	\$63,984,342.58	\$86,717,356.02	\$589,732.79 - \$8,593,569.70	\$87,307,088.81 - \$95,310,925.72
Middlesex	\$41,541,858.60	\$44,671,693.00	\$63,990.61 - \$932,469.41	\$44,735,683.61 - \$45,604,189.41
Gloucester	\$25,885,992	\$28,758,156.00	\$695,464.67 - \$10,137,634.63	\$26,453,620.67 - \$38,895,790.63
King and Queen	\$10,790,810.41	\$11,024,055.01	\$1,217,772.89 - \$17,745,360.94	\$12,241,827.90 - \$28,769,415.95
Essex	\$8,280,739	\$11,782,239.00	\$300,552.25 - \$6,280,217.50	\$12,082,791.25 - \$18,062,456.50
King William	\$2,746,623.63	\$2,811,867.75	\$1,372,252.13 - \$19,996,428.51	\$4,184,119.88 - \$22,808,296.26



Total Economic Impact of Selected Areas within the Middle Peninsula

Anthropogenic			
Short term	Long term	Ecological	
\$126,230,366.20	\$185,765,366.80	\$4,239,764.75 – \$63,685,680.69	

Total Short term Costs of Selected Areas in the Middle Peninsula

\$157,470,131.60 – **\$211,916,046.90** Total Long term Costs of Selected Areas in the Middle Peninsula

\$187,005,132.10 -\$249,451,074.50

Year 2 Educational Initiative

<u>Audience</u> - General Public, Local Elected Officials and County Staff

<u>**Approach</u></u> - Gathering regional stakeholder perceptions through the use of Qwizdom Software</u>**

Focus - Climate Change, sea level rise, and what is the role of local government in managing the impacts









Year 3 Adaptation Public Policy

- **"START" kit** A regional <u>Start A</u>daption and <u>Response Today kit focused on....</u>
 - Local Scientific data
 - Kaiser-Permanente Natural Hazard Vulnerability Assessment Tool
 - Case studies
 - Sample Adaptation Ordinances from communities
- Once complete the kit will be transmitted to each governing body for public policy consideration

VIRGINIA EVE

Todd Janeski VNEMO Program Manager todd.janeski@dcr.virginia.gov 804.371.8984 www.virginianemo.net